



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,552	11/19/2003	Jinlian Hu	007198-556	5057
21839 7590 07/25/2007 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			EXAMINER SERGENT, RABON A	
			ART UNIT 1711	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/25/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10715552	11/19/2003	HU ET AL.	007198-556

EXAMINER

Rabon Sergent

ART UNIT

PAPER

1711

20070722

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on May 9, 2007 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicants have failed to adequately respond to the rejections set forth within paragraphs 4, 5, 7, and 9 of the Office action of January 9, 2007. Specifically, with respect to the rejection set forth within paragraph 4, the rejection is concerned with polymers having melting points as low as -30°C; however, applicants' response is concerned with glass transition temperatures, not melting points. With respect to the rejection set forth within paragraph 5, the rejection is concerned with the lack of enablement for systems wherein the chain extender lacks a carboxylic group; however, applicants' response is drawn to an amendment concerning the neutralizer. This amendment and argument in no way address the issue raised by the examiner. With respect to the rejection set forth within paragraph 7, applicants have set forth arguments that are concerned with a process step of claim 1; however, this response in no way addresses the rejection of claims 21 and 22, because these claims are not so limited. With respect to the rejection set forth within paragraph 9, applicants have argued that the polyisocyanate of Klauck et al. is excluded from the claims; however, this argument is not commensurate in scope with the rejected claims. Independent claim 21 fails to exclude any specific polyisocyanate. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).**

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent
July 22, 2007

Rabon Sergent
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1711